Making sense of a Holter electrocardiograph report
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Abstract

**Background:** Holter monitoring has become available in more centres in sub-Saharan Africa in recent years, but the interpretation of Holter electrocardiogram (ECG) is poorly understood.

**Material and method:** We present a 71-year old man who presented with cardiac failure, had abnormal electrocardiogram and was sent for Holter monitoring. Our report aims to help physicians interpret a Holter ECG report.
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Introduction

Standard indications for Holter monitoring include establishing the link between symptoms such as palpitations and chest pain with abnormal heart rhythms, diagnosis of the cause of syncope or near syncope, evaluation of transient arrhythmias, and stratifying the risk of sudden cardiac death. In recent times, indications have been expanded to include diagnosis and assessment of conduction abnormalities, stratification of certain cardiomyopathies, assessing the effectiveness of arrhythmia therapy and evaluation of silent ischemia and more complex analyses such as ST segment analysis, heart rate variability, signal averaged ECGs, diurnal QT and QTc analysis, obstructive sleep apnoea, vector-cardiography, T-wave alternans and heart rate turbulence. Abnormal findings on electrocardiogram including ventricular couplets, atrio-ventricular dissociation and intraventricular conduction block were the indication for Holter monitoring in our patient.

Case report

A 71-year-old male was seen in the clinic, with leg swelling, exertional breathlessness and paroxysms of nocturnal dyspnea for three weeks. He had hypertension for about thirty-four years, but was irregular with medications. His NYHA class was 3. His pulse rate was 62 beats per minute, irregularly irregular, blood pressure of 110/60 mm Hg. His apex beat was at sixth left intercostal space, mid-clavicular. First and second heart sounds were audible with a low-grade systolic murmur. Respiratory rate was 24/minute. He had coarse crepitations over the left lateral lung zone. The liver was palpable 4cm below the right costal margin, and ascites was demonstrated.

He was placed on Frusemide 40mg daily, spironolactone 25mg daily, lisinopril 10mg daily, metoprolol 25mg daily, digoxin 0.125mg daily. Investigations done included chest X-ray which showed severe cardiomegaly preponderantly left ventricular, with cardiothoracic ratio of 65%, aortic unfolding and prominent upper lobe vessels and mild left pleural effusion. A diagnosis of advanced hypertensive heart failure was made. Serum electrolytes/ urea/ creatinine were normal. 2D echocardiogram showed impaired left ventricular contractility, ejection fraction 19.9%, dilated left and ventricles, moderate aortic and tricuspid regurgitation, and pulmonary hypertension with
mean pulmonary arterial pressure of 45mm/Hg. Full blood count and routine chemistry were unremarkable. Electrocardiogram showed ventricular couplets, atrio-ventricular dissociation and intraventricular conduction block (Figure 1). A Holter electrocardiograph was requested and showed findings summarized below:

Average heart rate was 51, minimum 35 and maximum 65. Total beats were 74169, with abnormal beats 7834 and permillage of 105. There was no pause beat with r-r >2000ms. Supraventricular beats were 1256, with supraventricular permillage of 16. Total supraventricular pair, bigeminy, trigeminy and runs were 56, 12, 5 and 66 respectively. Total ventricular beats were 6578, with ventricular permillage of 88. Total ventricular pair, bigeminy, trigeminy and runs were 215, 228, 35 and 270 respectively.

For heart rate variability, the SDNN, SDANN and rMSSD were 158.1, 113.5 and 114.8 ms respectively. PNN50 was 23.0% and CV 0.09. Frequent episodes of severe bradycardia were seen. The total number of maximum ST episodes was not reported. Amiodarone 200mg twice daily, and valsartan-saccubitril 50mg twice daily, and Carvedilol 3.125 mg daily were added. The patient has been fairly stable on monthly follow up, which he does not keep religiously.

Discussion

On the Holter ECG report summary begins with the heart rate data. The average heart rate was 51 in our patient. Minimum HR was 35 and maximum HR 65. Total beats were 74169, with 7834 abnormal beats. Abnormal permillage was 105. He had about frequent episodes of sinus bradycardia. The reference ranges derived from a Japanese study of normal individual aged 60-89 is heart rate 73.8 ± 8, minimum HR 53.0 ± 6.0, maximum HR 121.1 ± 15.1 and total beats 103,693.1 ± 11,055.1. There was no pause beat with RR >2000ms. Sinus pause >3 s and second-degree AV block (Mobitz) are suggest significant conduction abnormality. A total of 1256 Supraventricular ectopics (SVE) were recorded over 24 hours, 701 being single SVE, 112 coming from 56 supraventricular couplets, 37 from supra-ventricular bigeminy, 15 from trigeminy, and 391 from episodic run. Excessive supraventricular ectopic activity (ESVEA) have been defined as ≥ 30 SVE per hour or any episodic runs of ≥ 20 SVE. They should be less than 1%, with total count of about 106 beats. Supraventricular ectopic activity were therefore excessive in our patient. ESVEA has been proposed as a surrogate marker for atrial fibrillation, stroke, and mortality.
Total ventricular ectopies over the twenty-four hour period was 6578. Frequent ventricular ectopic is defined as $>7$ PVC per minute (or less than 1% of total beats), or the presence of bigeminy, trigeminy, ventricular tachycardia, or fibrillation. This suggests significant risk of developing life-threatening arrhythmias in our patient.

Forty-six episodes of ST depression were noted on V6, with 30 episodes of ST elevation on V3. Significant ST-segment depression or elevation is defined as 1 mm (0.1 mV) ST-segment deviation occurring 80 ms after the J point, lasting for 60s. Interpretation of ST changes on Holter is challenging. While Holter is superior to resting electrocardiography, and has a higher sensitivity (77.78%) than thread-mill exercise test (TET), however the specificity of detecting CAD with TET is higher, as many daily events (such as standing, hyperventilating, eating, anxiety, taking drugs) may alter the S-T segment, giving false positives with Holter, so recorded S-T segment changes should be correlated with the patient’s activities and episodes of chest pain.

Ambulatory ECG is therefore of special value when the exercise test is equivocal or contraindicated. It is also of value in diagnosing ischemia when pain occurs at rest, during activities which cannot be reproduced on the treadmill, or nocturnally, as in Prinzmetal variant angina.

Conditions precluding accurate interpretation of ST-segment activity include left ventricular hypertrophy, presence of a Q wave $\geq 0.04$ seconds in a lead considered for monitoring, atrial fibrillation/flutter, use of digoxin or other medications known to affect ST-segment morphology, such as antidepressants, and left bundle branch block. In the presence of right bundle branch block, the frontal plane leads and the lateral precordial leads remain suitable for interpretation of ischemia.

ST changes that indicate need for further evaluation of the coronary arteries include ST-segment elevation that is associated with angina, ST-segment depression associated with sinus tachycardia during exercise as well as new T wave inversion associated with inappropriate bradycardia. Holter monitoring is not reliable in investigating myocardial ischaemia in asymptomatic subjects without any known coronary artery disease as in our patient.

The Holter also reports heart rate variability, which reflects activity of sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems, decreased parasympathetic nervous systems activity or increased sympathetic nervous system activity resulting in reduced HRV. Time-domain indices of HRV quantify the amount of variability in measurements of the time period between successive heartbeats. The most commonly used index is the standard deviation of the mean normal RR intervals for 5-min segments (SDNN). SDNN estimates the standard deviation of the mean N-N intervals within a frequency band being the power. Frequency-domain measurements estimate the distribution of absolute or relative power into the four frequency bands, e.g. ULF power is absolute power of the high frequency band. Decreased HRV is a powerful ambulatory ECG predictor of cardiac mortality and malignant arrhythmias, however it has several limitations. It requires normal sinus rhythm. Atrial fibrillation,
sinoatrial dysfunction, and >20% ectopic complexes preclude its use.\footnote{References}

The report can help the physician interpret a Holter ECG. We however observed the need for a standardized age related reference intervals in our environment.
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